HEALTHY PERSONS HEALTHY NATION Organizers - PFNDAI Collaborator- Indian Dietetics Association (Mumbai Chapter)

Dr. Jagmeet Madan

National Vice President, Indian Dietetic Association Principal, Professor Sir Vithaldas Thackersey College of Home Science, (Autonomous) SNDT Women's University, Juhu, Mumbai dr.jagmeetmadan@gmail.com

Mortality From NCD-India



Age-standardized death rates*



World Health Organization - Noncommunicable Diseases (NCD) Country Profiles, 2014.

Rural Vs Urban

	URBAN		ι λ	URBAN SLUMS			RURAL	
<25	71.5			80.43	-		89.23	
25-30	21.7			14.16			9.05	
>30	6.8			5.41			1.72	
				Heart Disease				
No	86.4			85.9			91.78	
Yes	13.04			12.06			7.56	
		Diabetes						
Νο	75.54			88.4			87.48	
Yes	23.96			11.6			12.24	

Raghav.G et al, Affluence, Obesity and Non-Communicable Diseases in India, 2010

NCD Prevalence In India Vs Per Capita Consumption Of Fat & Sugar



Narendra K. et al, Whole-of-society monitoring framework for sugar, salt, and fat consumption and noncommunicable diseases in India, Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 2014; Vol 10, 1331 JM 2016



Body Fat Percentage and Its Correlation with Dietary Pattern, Physical Activity and Life-style Factors in School-going Children of Mumbai, India

Jagmeet Madan, Neha Gosavi, Paarmi Vora, Princee Kalra

Table 6: Correlation of dietary determinants with BMI and BF					Table 8: Correlation of lifestyle determinants with BMI and BF percentage of school going children					
percentage of school going children						P value \leq				
	p va	lue ≤				Private school		Government		
Private	school	Government		530-4020 - 30		n=412		school n=352		
n=412		school n=352		Lifestyle		BMI	BF %	BMI	BF %	
BMI	BF %	BMI	BF %	Participation in spo Recreational activi	orts tv	0.661	0.545 0.013*	0.001*	0.001*	
0.058*	0.227	0.379	0.023*	TV viewing	13	0.967	0.116	0.001*	0.013*	
0.487	0.238	0.151	0.001*	Computer Steap hours		0.361	0.227	0.003*	0.001*	
ooked vegetable 0.002* 0.472 -		17. 1	BMI: Body mass index BF: Body fat TV: Television *marked are significant							
0.383	0.038*	0.242	0.001*	Divit. Douy mass mas	A, 111 . 10.	Ady suc, a v.	Television,	marcutae	Sighinean	
0.041*	0.043*	0.014*	0.031*	Table 9: Mean BF percentage and sleep duration in school						
0.541	0.09	0.042*	0.001*	going children						
0.703	0.365	0.036*	0.001*	Sleep duration		Mean BF				
0.231	0.14	0.116	0.05	in hours	Private school			Government school		
0.597	0.68	0.452	0.338		(n=400) (n=354)				354)	
-		0.001*	0.001*	<6 h	74	20.47±	8.81	72 1	1.10 ± 5.88	
(3 13	1002	0.001*	0.001*	5–8 h	198	17.23±	10.18	175 1	0.96 ± 6.41	
		>8 h	128	15.94±	9.65	107 1	3.99±7.73			
	lietary dete of school g Private n=- BMI 0.058* 0.487 0.002* 0.383 0.041* 0.541 0.703 0.231 0.597	lietary determinants of school going child	lietary determinants with BMI p value ≤ p value ≤ Private school Goven $n=412$ school BMI BF % BMI $0.058*$ 0.227 0.379 0.487 0.238 0.151 $0.002*$ 0.472 - 0.383 $0.038*$ 0.242 $0.041*$ $0.043*$ $0.014*$ 0.541 0.09 $0.042*$ 0.703 0.365 $0.036*$ 0.231 0.14 0.116 0.597 0.68 0.452 $ 0.001*$ $ 0.001*$	lietary determinants with BMI and BF p school going children p value ≤ Private school Government $n=412$ school $n=352$ BMI BF % BMI BF % $0.058*$ 0.227 0.379 $0.023*$ 0.487 0.238 0.151 $0.001*$ $0.002*$ 0.472 - - 0.383 $0.038*$ 0.242 $0.001*$ $0.041*$ $0.043*$ $0.014*$ $0.031*$ 0.541 0.09 $0.042*$ $0.001*$ 0.703 0.365 $0.036*$ $0.001*$ 0.231 0.14 0.116 0.05 0.597 0.68 0.452 0.338 $ 0.001*$ $0.001*$	Table 8: Correlation Table 8: Correlation Total $n=764$ Total $n=764$ Total $n=764$ Total $n=764$ Total $n=764$ Description of the school going children Lifestyle Private school Government n=412 School $n=352$ BMI BF % BMI BF % 0.058* 0.227 0.379 0.023* 0.487 0.238 0.151 0.001* 0.002* 0.472 - - 0.383 0.038* 0.242 0.001* 0.41* 0.043* 0.014* 0.031* 0.541 0.09 0.042* 0.001* 0.231 0.14 0.116 0.05 0.597 0.68 0.452 0.338 - - 0.001* 0.001* - - 0.001* 0.001* - - 0.001* 0.001* - - 0.001* -	Table 8: Correlation of I percentage <i>p</i> value ≤Table 8: Correlation of I percentage <i>p</i> value ≤ <i>n=412</i> school $n=352$ BMIBF %BMI0.058*0.2270.3790.023*0.4870.2380.1510.001*0.002*0.472-0.3830.038*0.2420.001*0.041*0.043*0.014*0.031*0.5410.090.042*0.001*0.2310.140.1160.050.5970.680.4520.3380.001*0.001*0.001*0.454128	Table 8: Correlation of lifestyle do percentage of school going children Table 8: Correlation of lifestyle do percentage of school going children Total $n=764$ Total $n=764$ Total $n=764$ Difference Difference <th< td=""><td>Table 8: Correlation of lifestyle determinants Table 8: Correlation of lifestyle determinant private school going children Table 8: Correlation of lifestyle determinant private school Government $n=412$ School $n=352$ BMI BF % BMI BF % 0.058* 0.227 0.379 0.023* 0.487 0.238 0.151 0.001* 0.002* 0.472 - 0.383 0.038* 0.242 0.001* 0.41* 0.043* 0.014* 0.031* 0.541 0.09 0.042* 0.001* 0.231 0.14 0.116 0.05 0.597 0.68 0.452 0.338 - - 0.001* 0.001* - - 0.001* 0.001* - - 0.001* 0.001* - - 0.001* 0.001* - - 0.001* 0.001* - - 0.001* - - - -<!--</td--><td>Table 8: Correlation of lifestyle determinants with BMI and BF of school going children Total $n=764$ P value \leq Total $n=764$ P value \leq Private school Government n=412 School $n=352$ BMI BF % BMI BF % 0.058* 0.227 0.379 0.023* 0.487 0.238 0.151 0.001* 0.002* 0.472 - 0.383 0.038* 0.242 0.001* 0.41* 0.043* 0.014* 0.031* 0.541 0.09 0.042* 0.001* 0.531 0.14 0.116 0.05* 0.597 0.68 0.452 0.338 - - 0.001* 0.001* - - 0.001* 0.001* - - 0.001* 0.001* - - 0.001* 0.001* - - 0.001* 0.001* <t< td=""></t<></td></td></th<>	Table 8: Correlation of lifestyle determinants Table 8: Correlation of lifestyle determinant private school going children Table 8: Correlation of lifestyle determinant private school Government $n=412$ School $n=352$ BMI BF % BMI BF % 0.058* 0.227 0.379 0.023* 0.487 0.238 0.151 0.001* 0.002* 0.472 - 0.383 0.038* 0.242 0.001* 0.41* 0.043* 0.014* 0.031* 0.541 0.09 0.042* 0.001* 0.231 0.14 0.116 0.05 0.597 0.68 0.452 0.338 - - 0.001* 0.001* - - 0.001* 0.001* - - 0.001* 0.001* - - 0.001* 0.001* - - 0.001* 0.001* - - 0.001* - - - - </td <td>Table 8: Correlation of lifestyle determinants with BMI and BF of school going children Total $n=764$ P value \leq Total $n=764$ P value \leq Private school Government n=412 School $n=352$ BMI BF % BMI BF % 0.058* 0.227 0.379 0.023* 0.487 0.238 0.151 0.001* 0.002* 0.472 - 0.383 0.038* 0.242 0.001* 0.41* 0.043* 0.014* 0.031* 0.541 0.09 0.042* 0.001* 0.531 0.14 0.116 0.05* 0.597 0.68 0.452 0.338 - - 0.001* 0.001* - - 0.001* 0.001* - - 0.001* 0.001* - - 0.001* 0.001* - - 0.001* 0.001* <t< td=""></t<></td>	Table 8: Correlation of lifestyle determinants with BMI and BF of school going children Total $n=764$ P value \leq Total $n=764$ P value \leq Private school Government n=412 School $n=352$ BMI BF % BMI BF % 0.058* 0.227 0.379 0.023* 0.487 0.238 0.151 0.001* 0.002* 0.472 - 0.383 0.038* 0.242 0.001* 0.41* 0.043* 0.014* 0.031* 0.541 0.09 0.042* 0.001* 0.531 0.14 0.116 0.05* 0.597 0.68 0.452 0.338 - - 0.001* 0.001* - - 0.001* 0.001* - - 0.001* 0.001* - - 0.001* 0.001* - - 0.001* 0.001* <t< td=""></t<>	

Madan et al, Body fat percentage and its correlation with dietary pattern, physical activity and lifestylre factors in schoolgoing children of Mumbai, India, JOMR, 2014 JM 2016

Prevalence Of Metabolic Syndrome In Mumbai City, India

Key Findings

82% : Overweight & Obese

70.3% : Waist Circumference of ≥90 cm

36% : Prehypertensives

40% : Dysglycemia

34% : ↑ TG

26% :↑ TC

64% : ↑ serum LDL-C

66% : ↓ serum HDL-C

journalomr_31_15R1

ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome in Mumbai City, India

Jagmeet G. Madan, Ankita M. Narsaria¹

ABSTRACT

Background: Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a complex web of metabolic factors that are associated with a 2-fold risk of cardiovascular diseases and a 5-fold risk of diabetes. There are lacunae of Indian studies regarding its prevalence with special reference to metropolitan cities such as Mumbai, India. Aim: To determine the prevalence of MetS in apparently healthy adult male population from Mumbai city based on their anthropometric, biochemical, and clinical health markers. Materials and Methods: This study was a cross-sectional study comprising 313 apparently healthy adult males aged 18-65 years from upper-middle-income group from different locales of Mumbai. A standardized pretested questionnaire was used to collect data regarding demographic characteristics, anthropometric parameters, and biochemical and clinical health markers using standardized methods. The data were analyzed using SPSS statistical software. Any observed difference was considered statistically significant with P < 0.05. Results: The mean age of the subjects was 46 years. The prevalence of MetS was 40% with 82% of the population surveyed being overweight and obese and 70.3% of the population with waist circumference of ≥90 cm. It was observed that 36% of the subjects were prehypertensives and 23.4% had systolic and/or diastolic blood pressures ≥140/90 mmHg. Almost 40% of the subjects had dysglycemia with 34% of the subjects with high triglycerides, 26% with high total cholesterol, 64% with raised serum low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and almost 66% with low serum high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels. A significant positive correlation was observed between anthropometric and biochemical markers. Conclusion: In apparently healthy adult population of Mumbai, the prevalence of MetS was 40%. A significant positive correlation was observed between anthropometric, clinical, and biochemical markers. The study highlights the need for intervention to lower the risk markers predisposing the urban population to noncommunicable diseases.

Key words: Metabolic syndrome, noncommunicable disease, prediabetes, prehypertensives



U. Kamakersman et al., Effect of Women's Nutrition before and during Early Pregnancy on Maternal and Infant Outcomes: A Systematic Review, Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology, 2012, 26 (Suppl. 1), 285-301 JM 2016



ategic Partners Group (SPAG Asia) , May 2015

NCD



WHO "Global monitoring framework on NCDs"



WHO Global monitoring framework on NCDs,

School Canteen : Guidelines By FSSAI

Develop a Canteen Policy to provide Nutritious, Wholesome and Healthy Food in Schools

Labeling Regulations to enable disclosure of all Relevant Information

Colour code	Availability	Examples
Green	Always on the menu(atleast 80% of available food items)	Vegetables & legumes, fruits, grain foods; preferably wholegrain &/or high in fibre, lean meat, egg, fish, low fat milk, curd, paneer etc.
Yellow	Select carefully Approach should be greening small portion size and reduces frequency	Baked vegetable based snacks, ice creams, milk based & dairy deserts etc
Red	Restrict/Limit Availabilty in schools	HFSS foods

SSAI Draft Guidelines for making available, safe and hygeinic food to school children in

India, 2015-16wholesome, nutritious

Taxing Sugar Sweetened Beverages(SSB)

OPEN O ACCESS Freely evailable online

PLOS MEDICINE

JM 2016

Averting Obesity and Type 2 Diabetes in India through Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Taxation: An Economic-Epidemiologic

20% SSB tax can reduce overweight and obesity prevalence by 3.0% and type 2 diabetes incidence by 1.6%. Sustained SSB taxation at a high tax rate could mitigate rising obesity and type 2 diabetes in India among both urban and rural subpopulations



Basu S, et al. (2014) Averting Obesity and Type 2 Diabetes in India through Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Taxation: An Economic-Epidemiologic Modeling Study. PLoS Med 11(1): e1001582. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001582

Sodium Regulation

The Next Agenda: FSSAI to Regulate Fat, Sugar and Salt Content in Indian Food Products

A concerted effort to reduce Sodium content in processed foods by MNC's

sate.

ate

WAY FORWARD

- NEED OF THE HOUR NUTRITIONIST- INDUSTRY INTERFACE
- FOOD CHOICES/OPTIONS SCIENCE-TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION GLOBAL TO LOCAL
- ACADEMIC INDUSTRY PARTNERSHIP FOR TRAINING STUDENTS TOWARDS NUTRITION AND HEALTH SOLUTIONS- PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT BY FUNCTIONALIZING FOODS; SPECIALITY INGREDIENTS; TRADITIONAL PREPERATIONS
- PRIME FOCUS ON CHILDREN & ADOLESCENTS



Lets Join Hands to Make India a Healthy Nation

Thank you